

Fluoride Concentration of Drinking Waters and Prevalence of Fluorosis in Iran: A Systematic Review

Morteza Ghojzadeh¹ • Fatemeh Pournaghi Azar² • Mohammad Naghavi-Behzad³ • Mostafa Mahmoudi⁴ •
Saber Azami-Aghdash⁵ • Zahra Jamali^{6*}

¹Associate Professor, Liver and Gastrointestinal Disease Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

²Assistant Professor, Department of Operative Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

³Medical Philosophy and History Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Pathology, Faculty of Dentistry, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Birjand, Iran

⁵Tabriz Health Services Management Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

*Corresponding Author; E-mail: saberazami@yahoo.com

Received: 25 December 2012; Accepted: 31 January 2013

J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospect 2013;7(1):1-7 | doi: 10.5681/joddd.2013.001

This article is available from: <http://dentistry.tbzmed.ac.ir/joddd>

© 2013 The Authors; Tabriz University of Medical Sciences

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background and aims. The aim of the present study was to systematically review fluoride concentration of drinking waters and prevalence of fluorosis in Iran through systematically evaluating results of studies conducted in this regard.

Materials and methods. In this systematic review study, the required data was collected using keywords including drinking water fluoride, fluoride concentration, Fluorosis, dent*, Iran*, and their Persian equivalents through PubMed, ScienceDirect, IranMedex, SID, MEDLIB, and Magiran databases. Out of 617 articles, 29 articles were finally considered after excluding the remaining articles which were not related to the study objectives. Following precise studying and extraction, the relevant data were summarized in extraction tables and analyzed manually. Excel 2007 software was used to draw diagrams.

Results. 4434 samples of surface, ground, and tap water resources collected within 236 months during all seasons in 17 provinces of Iran were used in 29 articles determining fluoride concentrations of drinking water. Average fluoride concentration was estimated to be 0.43 ± 0.17 ppm with zero and 3.06 as minimal and maximal values. The least concentration was seen in tap water. Fluoride concentration of only three provinces was in accordance with the global standard. According to estimations, prevalence of fluorosis was 61% with only 1% as severe fluorosis.

Conclusion. Despite lower than standard concentrations of fluoride in drinking water, a relatively high level of fluorosis was seen in Iran.

Key words: Concentration, drinking waters, fluoride, fluorosis, prevalence.

Introduction

At present, fluoride concentration of drinking water and the dental caries are regarded as one of the most common health problems and main con-

cerns of dentists,¹ since low fluoride concentration of the consumed water, i.e. less than the standard rate (1.2-6 ppm), results in caries,² and if progressed, fluorosis.³ According to World Health Organization, standard rate of fluoride of drinking water is 0.5–1

ppm.⁴ Studies conducted at different parts of the world reported variable concentrations of water fluoride and fluorosis,⁵⁻⁷ such that it was 0.19 ppm in South Africa study with prevalence rate of 47% for fluorosis.³ According to World Health Organization, highest rate of prevalence of fluorosis is seen in China and India.⁶ Studies conducted in Iran reported different fluoride concentrations of water and prevalence of fluorosis.⁸⁻¹¹ Although different policies including adding of fluoride to drinking water, use of fluoride-contained toothpastes and mouthwashes are made when there is insufficient fluoride concentration in drinking waters, there is controversies among experts in this regard.^{2,4} Standard value of water fluoride varies according to ecological and social conditions.^{5,8} In Iran, standard values should be identified for every region considering their ecological conditions since Iran has different weather and the temperature varying +50°C to -20°C in some regions.⁸ Although there are several studies conducted at different parts of Iran, there is not any comprehensive study evaluating fluoride concentration of waters of different resources and prevalence of fluorosis, according to results of articles review. Therefore, the present study aimed at systematically evaluating the studies published on fluoride concentration of different water sources as well as prevalence of fluorosis and providing a clear and comprehensive viewpoint from status of fluoride found in drinking waters and prevalence rate of fluorosis.

Materials and Methods

In this systematic review study, the relevant data was collected using keywords including “drinking water fluoride,” “fluoride concentration,” “fluorosis,”

“dent*”, “Iran*” and their Persian equivalents through PubMed, ScienceDirect, IranMedex, SID, MEDLIB, and Magiran databases. Articles published from 1990 to 2012, articles reporting fluoride concentration of drinking water and prevalence of fluorosis, and articles published in both Persian and English languages were regarded as inclusion criteria of the study. Articles presented at conferences as well as papers evaluating fluoride in resources other than water, e.g. tea, agricultural products, soil, etc., were excluded from the study. Following database searching, some authentic journals were manually searched in order to identify and cover more published articles. After excluding those articles relating weakly to the study objectives and selecting main articles, references of the selected articles were re-searched to being assured of identification and evaluation of the available articles and find more related articles. Out of 617 articles, 29 completely related articles were finally considered and the remaining not properly related to the study objectives was excluded. The selected articles were completely and exactly evaluated (Figure 1). There were two articles published in English-language journals. Following extraction of the relevant data, they were initially summarized in extraction table and analyzed manually. Excel 2007 software was used to draw diagrams.

Results

In this study, out of 617 articles, 29 articles completely related to the study objectives were finally selected, exactly studied, and the relevant data extracted (Tables 1 & 2).

Time interval of the evaluated articles varied from 1991 to 2009. Generally, the studies covered 17 Ira-




Figure 1. Flowchart of the study selection process.

Table 1. Extraction table for fluoride concentration of drinking waters in Iran

Authors/ year	City/ Province	Sampling method	Studied month/ season	Number/sampling resource	Maximum and minimum rate of water fluoride (ppm)
Azimi et al. ²⁴ 2000	Tehran	SPANDS	8 months: May-Dec.	2: Karaj & Jajroud rivers (river)	Jajroud (0.28-0.52), Karaj (0.15-0.35)
Hosseinpour Feizi et al. ²⁵ 2008-09	Azerbaijan	SPANDS	—	668 urban and rural drinking water resources	0.1-2.8 with the mean of 0.26 ± 0.27
Mohseni Sajjadi et al. ²⁶ 2007-08	Arak	—	Nov. & Jun.	179 samples (agricultural well)	Nov. (0.03-0.53 with the mean of 0.3 ± 0.5), June (0.02-0.22 with the mean of 0.06 ± 0.03)
Sahargahi et al. ²⁷ 2001-10	Eslamabad Gharb	—	—	200 samples from urban and rural regions	0-0.9 with the mean of 0.32
Khademi & Taleb ²⁸	Isfahan	—	—	—	Mean in Najafabad:0.23, Jouzdan:0.6, Filvar:0.78, & Rahmatabad:1.35
Mirghaffari & Shari-atmadari, ²⁹ 2003	Isfahan	—	Summer & Spring	—	Spring (0.09-0.4 with the mean of 0.3 ± 0.1), summer (0.01-0.14 with the mean of 0.05 ± 0.03)
Javan et al. ³⁰	Boushehr	—	Mar. & Apr.	Drinking water of three schools	0.41, 0.46, 0.58
Rajaei et al., ³¹ 2009-10	Birjand & Ghaen	—	Fall & Spring	54 samples from 27 stations	0.14-1.03 with the mean of 0.38
Shahriari et al., ³² 2008	Southern Khorasan	—	—	314 samples from 7 towns	Mean in Birjand (0.47), Ghaen (0.59), Ferdows (0.50), Ferdows (0.53), Saraian (0.49), Sarbisheh (0.66), & Darmian (0.54), total mean=0.52
Poueslami et al., ³³ 2008	Kerman	—	—	42 samples from 8 big cities of Kerman province	Mean (SD) in Baft (0.41 ± 0.26), Kahlouj (0.44 ± 0.19), Sirja (-0.39 ± 0.039), Bam (0.43), Jiroft (0.34 ± 0.34), Zarand (0.47 ± 0.039), Rafsanjan (0.39 ± 0.026), Kerman (0.17 ± 0.034)
Basir et al., ³⁴ 2002	Khuzestan	SPANDS	—	8 cities: from three Maroon, Karoun, and Karkheh rivers	Maximum in Maroun (0.51), Karoun (0.31), & Karkheh (0.43)
Nasehnia & Nasseri, ³⁵ 2000-01	Damghan	SPANDS, ion electrode	High and low rain seasons (four seasons)	40 samples from 8 regions of city	Mean for low rain (0.37), high rain (0.6)
Dobaradaran et al., ¹⁹ 2007	Boushehr	SPANDS	First half of the Iranian year	Ground waters of 13 villages of Borazjan	0.99-2.12 with the mean of 0.270
Ramezani et al., ³⁶ 2009	Zanjan	—	Summer	58 water reservoirs, 8 cities	Reservoirs: 0.002-0.574 with the mean of 0.06 ± 0.09 Tap water: 0.03-0.26
Ramezani et al., ³⁷ 2009	Sari	—	Spring	34 drinking water reservoir of Sari	Abhar (0.26), Mahnesan (0.11), Soltanieh (0.11), Khoramdareh (0.09), Abbar (0.08), Khodabandeh (0.05), Heidaj (0.04), Zanjan (0.03)
Ramezani et al., ³⁸ 2009	Shiraz	—	Spring	36 drinking water reservoir of Shiraz	0.179-0.318 with the mean of 0.247 ± 0.031
Rahmani et al., ³⁹ 2008-09	Nourabad-e Mamasani	SPANDS	Oct. 2008 to Apr. 2009	7 regions of Mamasani town	0.144-0.649 with the mean of 0.349 ± 0.153
Davari et al. ⁴⁰	Bastak, Hormozgan	—	—	9 samples from 3 regions of Bastak	Nourabad (0.4), Babamonir (0.31), Abpakhshan (0.53), Joujan (0.31), Mouraki (0.41), Parin (0.3), Mirjan (0.1)
Davari et al., ²³ 2002	Aghda, Yazd	SPANDS	—	10 samples from Aghda region	Pond water of Harank 1 (0.8), pond water of Harank 2 (0.24), tap water of Harank (1.55), pond water of Bastak 1 (0.20), pond water of Bastak 2 (0.29), tap water of Bastak (0.85), tap water of Jenah 1 (0.76), tap water of Jenah 2 (0.75), pond water of Jenah (0.21)
Amouei et al., ⁴¹ 2009-10	Khaf, Khorasan Razavi	SPANDS	Summer & Fall	32 water resources	Well water of Aghda 1 (0.8), well water of Aghda 2 (0.44), well water of Aghda 3 (0.98), well water of Haftador 1 (1.3), well water of Haftador 2 (0.81), well water of Sarv Sofla 1 (0.85), well water of Sarv Sofla 2 (1.41), well water of Mazraeh No 1 (1.22), well water of Mazraeh No 2 (1.16), well water of Mazraeh No 3 (1.57), N(Mean ± SD); Well: 25(0.90 ± 0.66)-Range(0.15-3.59)
Moslemi et al. ⁴²	Tehran	SPANDS	Summer & Winter	30- Karaj dam, Latian dam, Lar dam	Spring:2(0.74 ± 0.32)- Range(0.32-1.10) Subterranean:4(0.62 ± 0.30)- Range(0.38-0.85) Total : 31(0.88 ± 0.62)- Range(0.11-3.06)
Sepehri et al. ⁴³	Kerman	—	—	16 regions of Kerman-well	Summer : Karaj dam (0.182), Latian dam (0.208), Lar dam (0.358)
Modabber Abbasi, ⁴⁴ 1991-92	Zanjan	SPANDS	22 Nov. 1991 — 21 Nov. 1992	2176 samples from 33 deep wells and 2 water reservoirs	Winter : Karaj dam (0.129), Latian dam (0.194), Lar dam (0.305)
Almasi et al., ⁴⁵ 1999	Kashan	SPANDS	Spring & Fall	201 samples from wells, springs, and ducts of Kashan	Total: N (Mean ± SD); 30(0.229 ± 0.014) 0.154-0.341 with the mean of 0.195 0.349-0.731 with the mean of 0.561
Samarghandi & Sadri ⁴⁶ 1998-99	Hamadan & Bahar	-	—	150 samples from drinking waters of Hamadan & Bahar	0.25-1.2, total mean: 0.653 ± 0.22 Mean of wells:0.7, springs:0.57, & ducts:0.49 Mean of Hamadan: 0.198, mean of Bahar:0.6
Araghizadeh et al., ⁴⁷ 2002	Bandarabbas	SPANDS	—	Sampling from drinking water of 16 regions	0.55-0.93 with the mean of 0.73
Shidfar et al., ⁴⁸ 2000	Ilam	—	Fall	96 samples from 4 regions of Ilam	Mean: 0.28, Oct.: 0.29, Nov.: 0.27, & Dec.:0.29

nian provinces. SPANDS sampling method was used to sample water in all studies within 236 months during the study period. It should be noted that 16, 12, 11, and 8 studies were conducted in spring, summer, fall, and winter, respectively. Resources used in 8, 17, 4, and studies included well water, urban and rural tap water, ground waters (spring and duct), and surface waters (river and pond). The evaluated stud-

ies considered 4434 samples of different water resources of the country. Also, 3727 students ranging 7-16 years old were studied to evaluate prevalence of fluorosis.

To determine fluorosis severity, Dean Index was used in all studies. Figure 2 shows the mean fluoride concentration of waters in different evaluated resources based on the different years.

Table 2. Extraction table for prevalence of fluorosis in Iran

Author/year	City	Number & samples	Fluorosis
Khademi & Taleb ²⁸	Isfahan	254 elementary school students (12-7 years old)	Normal (37.38)38, suspicious (18.69)80, very mild (24.30)104, mild (17.29)74, moderate (6.7)17, severe (4.7)12
Araghizadeh et al, ⁴⁷ 2002	Bandarabbas	867 student from elementary schools (12-7 years old)	Normal (15)160, suspicious (23.2)59, very mild (31.1)79, mild (19.3)49, moderate (2.34)10, severe (0)0
Mortazavi et al, ⁴⁹ 2000	Deir- Boushehr	506 students (11-16 years old)	Girls= Normal (16.5), suspicious (35.10), very mild (25.8), mild (16.4), moderate (6.2), severe (0) Boys= Normal (12.1), suspicious (25.3), very mild (34.5), mild (18.5), moderate (9.6), severe (0)
Javan et al ³⁰	Boushehr	95 students (10-12 years old)	Normal (47.4)45, suspicious (16.8)16, very mild (12.6)12, mild (21.1)20, moderate (2.1)2, severe (0)0
Basir et al, ³⁴ 2002	Khuzestan	1152 students (12-15 years old)	Normal (48.52)559, suspicious (17.70)204, very mild (17.96)207, mild (10.50)121, moderate (4.68)54, severe (0.60)7 Maroon (1.36±1.32), Karoun (0.64±1.02), Karkheh (1.21±1.32)
Honarmand et al, ⁵⁰ 2006	Zahedan	334 students (7-10 years old)	Normal (69.16)231, suspicious (2.39)8, very mild (10.77)36, mild (8.39)28, moderate (8.39)28, severe (0.9)3
Mortazavi & Karimian, ⁵¹ 1998-99	Jarghouieh Sofla & Olia	519 guidance school students	Jarghouieh Sofla: Normal (45.1), suspicious (19.1), very mild (10.2), mild (8.8), moderate (6.3), severe (0.8) Jarghouieh Olia: Normal (34.2), suspicious (22.1), very mild (19.8), mild (12.5), moderate (7.2), severe (1.8)

As seen, the highest rate of fluoride concentration of different water resources is attributed to the year 2002.

The average fluoride concentration of drinking waters was 0.43 ± 0.17 ppm with zero and 3.06 as its minimal and maximal values obtained for Kermanshah and Khorasan Razavi provinces, respectively.

Figure 3 demonstrates mean fluoride concentration of waters based on the different water resource. According to Figure 3, drinking waters of well has the highest mean concentration of the studied waters. The least one belongs to urban and rural tap waters. As mentioned, Iran has different ecological condif-mean range of fluoride concentration in waters of provinces. Figure 4 demonstrates fluoride concentra-




Figure 2. Mean fluoride concentration of different resources waters evaluated in the studies based on year.




Figure 3. Mean fluoride concentration of different resources waters evaluated in the studies based on the understudy water resource.

tion of drinking waters in every province. As seen on the figure, Yazd and Mazandaran provinces have the highest and lowest rates of fluoride concentration. Tehran is regarded as a province with low fluoride concentration. As seen, only three provinces are at the standard range recommended by World Health Organization.

Figure 5 shows prevalence of fluorosis among samples of the evaluated studies based on its severity. According to Figure 5, there is normal fluorosis in about 39% of the samples and severe fluorosis was seen in only about 1% of the samples.




Figure 4. Mean fluoride concentration of different resources waters evaluated in the studies based on the understudy provinces.




Figure 5. Prevalence of fluorosis based on its severity among the understudy samples in the evaluated studies

Discussion

In 4434 samples evaluated in the conducted studies, average fluoride concentration was estimated 0.43 ± 0.17 ppm with zero and 3.06 as minimal and maximal values. The highest and lowest concentrations were seen in well, and urban and rural tap waters, respectively. Out of 3727 studied students, only 1% suffered from severe fluorosis.

Mean scores obtained from the studies conducted in Iran (0.43 ± 0.17 ppm) is less than standard values of 0.7 ppm for tropical and 1.2 ppm for cold regions recommended by World Health Organization. Therefore, appropriate plans and policies should be designed and executed to standardize fluoride concentration of different water resources of Iran. According to results of the study, most interventions should focus on increasing fluoride of drinking water since fluoride insufficiency leads to caries.¹² Although fluoride concentration in drinking waters of Iran is less than standard values and their fluoride content should be increased, its disadvantages should also be considered in planning and policy makings, as increasing fluoride concentration above the permitted level would lead to undesirable disorders and complications such as mental disability, change of human chromosome structure, renal damages, and osteomalacia.¹³⁻¹⁵ Additionally, the results of global studies have demonstrated that the higher the fluoride concentration of drinking waters, the higher the prevalence rate of fluorosis in the population.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ According to recent reports provided by experts of Iran Fluoride Scientific Association, exceeding fluoride concentration of drinking waters from 0.7 mg/l may increase disadvantages rather than desirable effects of caries prevention.¹⁹ Reviewing studies conducted on measurement of drinking water fluoride in the US demonstrated that adding fluoride to drinking waters was not only useless but entailed certain disadvantages and economical costs.²⁰ By precise evaluation of drinking water fluoride and its comparison with standards, authorities and experts should make appropriate decisions and offer proper plans to exactly adjust fluoride concentration of drinking waters.

Fluoride concentration of drinking waters of every province is demonstrated in Figure 4. According to this figure, fluoride concentrations of drinking waters of only three provinces are in accordance with standards defined by World Health Organization. Also, high fluoride concentration is seen in drinking water of provinces located at tropical regions where the water is mainly supplied by wells and ground waters. Necessary actions should be taken to reduce

fluoride concentration in these regions. On the contrary, provinces located at cold and mountainous regions have low concentrations of fluoride. Water in these provinces is mainly supplied by surface and river waters. The regions require policies to increase fluoride level of drinking water.

In researches evaluated in this study, 3727 subjects were studied considering fluorosis. Generally, about 2274 cases (61%) suffered from different degrees of fluorosis. Of these, only 38 students (1%) experienced severe fluorosis. According to reports, the prevalence of fluorosis with fluoride concentration of 0.19 ppm was 47% in South Africa.²² Considering fluoride concentration found in the present study, it can be stated that the prevalence of fluorosis in South Africa is higher than Iran. The study conducted on 12-15 year-old students in Khuzestan reported prevalence rate of fluorosis about 51%, which is just below the average value calculated for the country. The results of the present study are in accordance with those of the Hormozgan province.²³ Despite low fluoride concentration in drinking waters of Iran, high prevalence rate of fluorosis is seen in this study. Other resources including foodstuff, tea, and toothpastes may be regarded as the most important and justifiable sources of high fluoride dose that individual receive. Further studies are warranted in order to identify main factors resulting in high prevalence of fluorosis.

Lack of meta-analyses of the extracted data due to different ways of data presentation is regarded as one of the drawbacks of the present study. It is recommended to use the same specific procedures in presenting the results of such studies. This was the reason why it was not possible to statistically evaluate the relationship between fluoride concentration and prevalence of fluorosis in this study.

Conclusion

The results of the present study demonstrate that although average fluoride concentration in drinking waters of Iran is less than recommended standards, it is still higher than standard level in some regions. Generally, there are different concentrations of water fluoride in Iran due to variable ecological conditions of the country. Considering different effects of variable concentrations of fluoride, setting uniform policies for all regions of the country may result in undesirable complications. Therefore, appropriate policies should be made based on regional conditions as well as specific fluoride concentrations. Also, high prevalence of fluorosis in Iran was noted in spite of low fluoride concentrations, which may have re-

sulted from fluoride uptake from other resources.

References

- Damle SG. *Textbook of Pediatric Dentistry*, 3rd ed. India: ARYA (Medi) Publishing House; 2006.
- Zimmers A. Caries preventive effects of fluoride products when used in conjunction with fluoride dentifrice. *Caries Res* 2001;35:18-21.
- Douki Zbidi N, Zouiten S, Hajjami H, Baccouche C. Different treatment of fluorosis stains. *Dental News* 2003;10:45-9.
- Seppä L. The future of preventive programs in countries with different systems for dental care. *Caries Res* 2001;35 Suppl 1:26-9.
- Nagendra Rao CR. Fluoride and environment—a review, 2003. Available at: http://www.yorku.ca/bunchmj/ICEH/proceedings/Rao_N_IC_EH_papers_386to399.pdf.
- Bo Z, Mei H, Yongsheng Z, Xueyu L, Xuelin Z, Jun D. Distribution and risk assessment of fluoride in drinking water in the west plain region of Jilin province, China. *Environ Geochem Health* 2003;25:421-31.
- Singh B, Gaur S, Garg VK. Fluoride in drinking water and human urine in southern haryana, India. *J Hazard Mater* 2007;144:147-51.
- Dindar Loo K, Ali Pour V, Farshid Far GhR. Chemical quality of drinking water in Bandar Abbas. *Journal of Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences* 2006;10:57-62. [In Persian]
- WHO Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality. Second Addendum to Third Edition. Volume 1 Recommendations. Available from: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/secondaddendum20081119.pdf.
- Asghari Moghadam A, Jomeyri R, Mohammadi A. Source of high fluoride in groundwater of basaltic lavas of Bazargan-Poldasht Plains and its ill effects on human health. *Journal of Environmental Studies* 2007;33:25-32. [In Persian]
- Rahimzadeh H, Kargar M, Dadban Y, Birami S. Fluoride Level in Drinking Water Resources of Gorgan Rural Regions, 1385. *Medical Laboratory Journal* 2008;1:45-8. [In Persian]
- Pizzo G, Piscopo MR, Pizzo I, Giuliana G. Community water fluoridation and caries prevention: a critical review. *Clin Oral Invest* 2007;11:189-93.
- World Health Organization. Fluoride in Drinkingwater, Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality, 2004. Available at: http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/dwq/chemicals/fluoride.pdf.
- Institute national de santé publique du Québec. Water fluoridation: an analysis of the health benefits and risks, 2007. Available from: www.inspq.qc.ca/pdf/publications/705-Water_Fluoridation.pdf (Accessed April 2011).
- American Dental Association. Fluoridation Facts, 2005. Available from <http://www.adacatalog.org> (Accessed April 2011).
- Xiang Q. Relationships between daily total fluoride intake and dental fluorosis and dental caries. *Journal of Nanjing Medical University* 2009; 23:33-9.
- Palmer C, Wolfe SH; American Dietetic Association. Position of the American Dietetic Association: the impact of fluoride on health. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2005;105:1620-8.
- Narbutaitė J, Vehkalahti M, Mil Hiuvieni S. Dental fluorosis and dental caries among 12-yr-old children from high-and low-fluoride areas in Lithuania. *Eur J oral Sci* 2007;115:137-42.
- Dobaradaran S, Mahvi AH, Dehdashti S. Fluoride content of bottled drinking water available in Iran. *Fluoride* 2008;40:93-4. [In Persian]
- Carton RJ. Review of the 2006 United States National Research Council report: Fluoride in drinking water. *Fluoride* 2006;39:163-72.
- Almasi H, Mostafaie GR, Iranshahi L. Fluor concentration of drinking water of Kashan in 1999. *Feyz, Kashan University of Medical Sciences & Health Services* 2002;6:37-43. [In Persian]
- Grobleri SR, Louw AJ, Van Kotze TJ. Dental fluorosis and caries experience in relation to three different drinking water fluoride levels in South Africa. Oral and Dental Research Institute, University of Stellenbosch Faculty of Dentistry. Tygerberg, South Africa. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 2001;11:372-9.
- Davari A, Ezoddini Ardakani F, Majidi M, Abdollahi Alibeyk F. The prevalence of fluorosis in students 15-12 years old, Aghda, Yazd. *Scientific Quarterly of Yazd Health School* 2005;4:27-35. [In Persian]
- Azimi AA, Bid Hendi QR, Hashemi H, Maham Y. Concentration of fluoride in drinking water supply surface water sources in Tehran. *Journal of Environmental Studies* 2003;29:35-40. [In Persian]
- Hosseinpour Feizi MA, Mosaferi M, Dastgiri S, Mehdipour M, Khosha A. Analysis of fluoride and some quality parameters of drinking water in East Azerbaijan province. *Tabriz University of Medical Sciences Journal*. 2012;33:35-50. [In Persian]
- Mohseni Sajadi M, Afyuni M, Khademi H, Mohseni Movahed SA, Ayubi S. Spatial variability of fluoride in groundwater and soils in some areas of Arak Plain. *Journal of Water and Soil* 2011;25:1033-41. [In Persian]
- Sahargahi B, Por Mahr R, Razaee M, Naderi MR, Sherzadi A, Mohammadi B, et al. Comparative of Eslamabad city drink water flouride concentration with 80 decade standards. *Kermanshah University of Medical Science Journal* 2012;16:84-5. [In Persian]
- Khademi H, Talab M. Relationship of fluorosis and dental caries with drink water fluoride concentration. *Research in Medical Science* 2001;5:213-5. [In Persian]
- Mirghaffari N, Shariatmadari H. Fluoride distribution in groundwater, soil and some crops in Isfahan region. *JWSS - Isfahan University of Technology* 2007;11:43-51. [In Persian]
- Javan G, Mostaghni E, Jafari S, Amini B, Hematei Nejad B. Dental fluorosis and urinary fluoride in 10-12 years old adolescents of Bushehr port. *Iranian South Med J* 2005;8:165-71. [In Persian]
- Rajaei Q, Mehdinejad MH, Hesari Motlagh S. A Survey of chemical quality of rural drinking water of Birjand and Qaen Plains, Iran. *Health Care Research* 2012;7:737-45. [In Persian]
- Shahriari T, Azizi M, Sharifzadeh G, Hajiani M, Zeraatkar V, Aliabadi R. Evaluation of fluorine concentration in drinkingwater sources in South Khorasan (2008-2009). *Journal of Birjand University of Medical Sciences* 2010;17:33-41. [In Persian]
- Poorelami H, Khazaeli P, Masoodpoor H. Fluoride Content of Drinking Waters in Kerman/Iran. *Journal of Kerman University of Medical Sciences* 2008;15:235-42. [In Persian]
- Basir L, Khaneh Masjedi M, Haghighi M, Neamatiasl S. Comparative investigation on the prevalence of fluorosis and DMFT and their relations with the amount of fluoride in all

- the three drinking water resources (Maroon, Karoon and Karkheh rivers) among 10-12 school students in Khuzestan in 2002. *Journal of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences* 2006;24:14-23. [In Persian]
35. Nasehinia HR, Naseri S. A survey of fluoride dosage in drinking water and DMF index in Damghan city. *Water and Sewage* 2005;49:70-2. [In Persian]
 36. Ramazani G, Valaei N, Rojhani Shirazi M. Survey of flouride concentration in Zanjan Provence cities drink waters in summer 2010. *Quarterly of Research in Dental Sciences* 2010;6:69-73. [In Persian]
 37. Ramazani G, Valaei N, Shahmirzadi S, Saadat S. Survey of flouride concentration in Sari city drink waters in spring 2010. *Quarterly of Research in Dental Sciences* 2010;6:72-6. [In Persian]
 38. Ramazani G, Khajavi Khan A, Shahmirzadi S, Saadat S. Survey of flouride concentration in Shiraz city drink waters in spring 2010. *Quarterly of Research in Dental Sciences* 2010;6:79-83. [In Persian]
 39. Rahmani K, Rahmani A, Rahmani H, Mahvi AH, Yousefi M, Goadini K. Effects of fluoride on child dental caries in Noorabad Mamasani town in 2008. *Scientific Journal of Ilam University of Medical Sciences* 2012;19:12-9. [In Persian]
 40. Davari A, Danesh Kazemi A, Mohammadi H, Abdollahi Alibeik F. The Prevalence of dental fluorosis and its relationship with the level of fluoride in 12-15 years old guidance school students in Southern Iran. *Shiraz Univ Dent J* 2004;5:36-43. [In Persian]
 41. Amouei A, Mahvi A, Mohammadi A, Asgharnia H, Fallah S, Khafajeh A. Fluoride concentration in potable groundwater in rural areas of Khaf city, Razavi Khorasan province, Northeastern Iran. *The International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 2012;3:201-3. [In Persian]
 42. Moslemi M, Khalili Z, Karimi S, Shadkar MM. Fluoride concentration of bottled water and tap water in Tehran, Iran. *J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects* 2011;5:132-5.
 43. Saphari G, Bazrafshan MR, Tabasian A, Hosseinzadeh M. Measure of Kerman city drink water fluoride concentration. *Dental Journal* 1998;9:83-90. [In Persian]
 44. Abbasi M. Survey and detemind of Zanjan city drink water fluoride concentration. *Zanjan University of Medical Science Journal* 1995;3:22-32. [In Persian]
 45. Almasei H, Mostafaei G, Iranshahi L. Survey of Kashan city drink water fluor concentration in 2000. *Feaiz* 2003;21:37-43. [In Persian]
 46. Samarghandi M, Sadri G. Determination of fluoride content in drinking water of Hamedan and Bahar cities since 1998-1999. *Journal of Hamedan University of Medical Sciences* 2001;8:42-7. [In Persian]
 47. Araghizadeh A, Zareh S, Radafshar Z, Chanedeh S. Prevalence of dental fluorosis in Bandarabas city school childs. *Hormozgan Medical Journal* 2005;8:13-8. [In Persian]
 48. Shidfar F, Aghilinejad M, Ameri A, Motavalian SA, Radfar A, Hoseini S. Determination of DMF index among workers of industrial city of Ilam-Iran and its relation with fluoride content of potable water. *Iran Occupational Health* 2008;4:64-8. [In Persian]
 49. Mortazavi M, Bardestani G, Danesh M. The Prevalence of fluorosis and DMFT among 11-16 years old school children in Dayyer (Boshehr province). *Scientific Quarterly of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences* 2003;3:66-73. [In Persian]
 50. Honarmand M, Molashahi Farad L, Shirazei M, Abbasi H. Epidemiology of dental fluorosis in 7-10 years old students refered to Zahedan Social Dental Center, 2007. *Iran Epidemiology Journal* 2012;7:66-72. [In Persian]
 51. Mortazavi V, Karimian R. Prevalence of dental fluorosis and determined DMFT index in Jarghoveah guidance schools. *Research in Medical Sciences* 2001;5:260-4. [In Persian]